

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/618,182 07/11/2003 Jacob Richter 2390/468082 4309 EXAMINER 26646 12/06/2004 DAWSON, GLENN K **KENYON & KENYON** ONE BROADWAY ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER NEW YORK, NY 10004 3731

DATE MAILED: 12/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\wedge \wedge \wedge$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1///
	10/618,182	RICHTER ET AL.	1 0
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Glenn K Dawson	3731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 S</u>	eptember 2004.		
· _ ·	action is non-final.		,
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	:x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4:	3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 18-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 28 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 18-27 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>	wn from consideration.	-	
Application Papers		x.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			_ · ·
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<u> </u>	and address of 1100 0 0 440/a	(-1) (0	
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National :	Stage
	or the continue copies not receive	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Attachment(s)			
) UNotice of References Cited (PTO-892) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) ☐ Notice of Informal P 6) ☐ Other:	atent Application (PTO	-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/618,182

Art Unit: 3731

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 18,25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Graflind-4788417.

Graflind discloses an electrical heating pad having an electrical circuit establishing current flow causing heat to be produced by passing it through a resistance. The circuit has means to sense resistance and use this to control the amount of current is delivered to the pad. The circuit also has the ability to switch the current off. The circuit could have been used to heat a stent and would operate as claimed. See col. 3 lines 50-57 and col. 4 lines 3-9.

Claims 18-20,25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sachs, et al.-4695709.

Sachs discloses an electrical circuit for providing current to a resistance to produce heat. Measuring or sensing circuits sense changes in current or voltage. The sensing part of the circuit is used to control the current in order to control the heating. The circuit could have been used to heat a stent and would operate as claimed.

Claims 18-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Potter-4359626.

Art Unit: 3731

Potter discloses an electric blanket having a circuit providing current to a heating resistor. The circuit has a means for sensing current or voltage changes. The sensed current or voltage is used to control the amount of current delivered to the resistor to change the heat produced. The circuit also has a shut-off means. The cut-off may be delayed. See col. 1 lines 33-34 and lines 54-59; col. 2 lines 2-9; col. 4 lines 22-36. The circuit could have been used to heat a stent and would operate as claimed.

### Double Patenting

Claim 27 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 18. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 28 is allowed.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 09-07-2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants arguments regarding the prior art references not disclosing that the circuit is for establishing current flow through a stent for heating the stent to change the stent from the martensite phase to the austenite phase thus changing its shape and the circuit monitors the phase change of the stent and controls the current flow through the stent as a function of monitoring the phase change. This argument is not persuasive as

Application/Control Number: 10/618,182

Art Unit: 3731

the elements of the circuit which perform this function are disclosed by the prior art, although for a different purpose. The stent is not claimed as part of the device and therefore the patentability of the claim rests solely on the elements of the circuitry. As the circuitry of the prior art devices could be hooked up to a stent and if so would perform exactly as claimed by the applicant, the limitations of the claim are met. By the same token, the applicants claimed circuit could be hooked up to other devices or articles and would perform entirely different functions. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

The fact that the prior art circuits are not actually attached to stents is not germane to the patentability of the claims at issue.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn K Dawson whose telephone number is 703-308-4304. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on 703-308-2154. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Glenn K Dawson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3731

Gkd 30 November 2004